



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	- FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/954,636	09/14/2001	James J. Croft III	T8534.CIP	7553
7590 07/26/2005			EXAMINER	
Attn: Vaughn W. North			HARVEY, DIONNE	
THORPE, NORTH & WESTERN, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1219 SANDY, UT 84091-1219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2646	
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A P 4 1				
۴	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/954,636	CROFT, JAMES J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dionne N. Harvey	2646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ja	nuary 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
· <u> </u>						
4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-7,15-19,22,24 and 34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,8-14,21,23 and 23-34</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
,	Globion requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	•	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2646

___ DETAILED ACTION ___ ___

Election/Restrictions

In Paper No. 7, the applicant elected, without traverse, the species of Group I, illustrated in figures 5-7. Claims 5,6,7,15-19,22,24 and 34 <u>are not</u> drawn to the elected species. Therefore they have not been examined further. Non-elected claims should be withdrawn.

Claim Objections

Claim 20 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a claim cannot depend on multiple claims. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim has not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12-14 recite the limitation "said at least one additional passive acoustic radiator" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 25-32 recite the limitation "said at least one additional passive acoustic radiator" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2646

Note: claims 26-32 encompass the limitations of claim 25 and therefore lack proper antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 8-11,21,23,33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Coffin U.S. 6,431,309.

Regarding claims 8 and 10,

in **figure 6**, Coffin teaches a loudspeaker system comprising: at least one electro-acoustical transducer **2** for converting an input electrical signal into corresponding acoustic output;

an enclosure divided into (n) sub-chambers **75,78,77** by (n-1) dividing walls **73,72** with n=3;

at least a first dividing wall **72** supporting and co-acting with said at least one electro-acoustical transducer **2** to bound said first **77** and second **78** sub-chambers;

at least one primary passive acoustic radiator **76C** designed to realize a predetermined acoustic mass and intercoupling said first **77** and second **78** subchambers;

Art Unit: 2646

though Coffin teaches that cones 2 and 4 move in unison, in column 2, lines 58-63 Coffin teaches that cone 4 is pneumatically coupled, thereby reading on "at one secondary passive acoustic radiator" specifically designed to realize a predetermined acoustic mass and coupling each sub-chamber other that said first chamber to another sub-chamber;

at least one tertiary passive acoustic radiator **76A** specifically designed to realize a predetermined acoustic mass and intercoupling at least one of said sub-chambers **75**, other than the first sub-chamber **77**, to a region outside said enclosure;

each of said sub-chambers having the characterization of acoustic compliance;

in **column 4, line 66 - column 5, line 5,** Coffin teaches that output from the front side of cone **2** is coupled to chamber **78.** It is also clear from the figure that the front surface of cone **2** is coupled to sub-chamber **77.** Furthermore, it is understood in the art that some degree of the output from the front surface of cone **2** is delivered to chamber **75** through vent **76C** and aperture **14**, thus reading on "and said passive acoustic radiator masses interacting with sub-chamber compliances to form a total of (n) Helmholtz-reflex acoustic filters of which the output of the transducer and at least one primary passive acoustic radiator must pass through before exiting the enclosure."

Regarding claims 9 and 11,

Coffin teaches that passive acoustic radiators have the characteristic of acoustic mass and are selected from the group consisting of vents, ports, and suspended passive diaphragms.

Regarding claims 21 and 23,

Art Unit: 2646

As taught by the structure of the apparatus of the speaker enclosure, Coffin—teaches a method for configuring a low-range speaker system comprising the steps of:

- a) configuring a low range speaker system to include multiple low pass acoustic filter structures **75,78,77** to achieve at least a third order acoustic low pass character;
- b) configuring a transducer **2** with a vibratable diaphragm to be filtered by said low pass acoustic filters; and
- c) operating a low frequency passive acoustic radiator **76C** in parallel with said transducer **2** such that said passive acoustic radiator **76C** is filtered by said low pass acoustic filter structures **78,77**.

Regarding claim 33,

in **figure 6**, Coffin teaches a method for configuring a low-range speaker system comprising the steps of:

a) configuring a low range speaker system to include multiple low pass acoustic filter structures **75,78,77** to achieve at least a third order acoustic low pass character;

in **column 4**, **line 66 - column 5**, **line 5**, Coffin teaches that output from the front side of cone **2** is coupled to chambers **78 and 77**. Furthermore, it is understood to one of ordinary skill in the art that some degree of the output from the front surface of cone **2** is delivered to chamber **75** through aperture **14**, thus reading on "configuring a transducer with a vibratable diaphragm for which all output of one side said vibratable diaphragm that is delivered to the region outside said low range speaker system is filtered by all of said low pass acoustic filter structures."

Regarding claim 35,

Art Unit: 2646

Coffin teaches configuring a low-frequency-passive acoustic radiator 76C operating in parallel with said transducer 2 such that said passive acoustic radiator 76C is filtered by said low pass acoustic filter structures 78,77.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coffin U.S. 6,431,309.

Regarding claims 1,

in **figure 6**, Coffin teaches a loudspeaker system comprising: at least one electro-acoustical transducer **2** for converting an input electrical signal into corresponding acoustic output; an enclosure divided into at least first **77**, second **78** and third **75** sub-chambers by at least first **72** and second **73** dividing wall; said first dividing wall **72** supporting and co-acting with said at least one electro-acoustical transducer **2** to bound said first **77** and said second **78** sub-chambers; though Coffin teaches that cones **2** and **4** move in unison, in **column 2**, **lines 58-63** Coffin teaches cone **4** is pneumatically coupled, thereby reading on "at least one passive acoustic radiator" specifically designed to realize a predetermined acoustic mass and intercoupling said second **78** and third **75** sub-chambers; at least a second passive acoustic radiator **76A**

least one of said second **78** and third **75** sub-chambers with the region outside said enclosure; at least a third passive acoustic radiator **76C** specifically designed to realize a predetermined acoustic mass and intercoupling said first **77** and second **78** sub-chambers; each of said sub-chambers having the characterization of acoustic compliance; and said first **4** and second **76A** passive acoustic radiator masses interacting with second **78** and third **75** sub-chamber compliances to form two Helmholtz-reflex tunings at two spaced frequencies in the pass-band of said loudspeaker.

Although the **figure 6** of Coffin appears to illustrate that the third passive acoustic radiator **76C** forms a third Helmholtz-reflex tuning at a frequency lower than that of said first and second passive acoustic radiators (*due to the added acoustic mass of 76B*), Coffin does not specifically state that the third passive acoustic radiator has a frequency lower than that of said first and second passive acoustic radiators.

However, the Examiner takes Official Notice, that varying the acoustic mass of passive acoustic radiators is well known in the art, and it would have been obvious to dimension the third passive acoustic radiator such that it has a frequency lower than that of said first and second passive acoustic radiators, for the purpose of tuning the output of the loudspeaker system.

Regarding claim 2,

Art Unit: 2646

Coffin teaches that said passive acoustic radiators have the characteristic of acoustic mass and are selected from the group consisting of vents, ports, and suspended passive diaphragms.

Regarding claim 3,

Coffin teaches that the second passive acoustic radiator **76A** intercouples the third sub-chamber **75** with the region outside the enclosure.

Regarding claim 4,

Coffin teaches that the second passive acoustic radiator **76A** intercouples the second sub-chamber **78** with the region outside the enclosure via pneumatic cone **4**.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne N Harvey whose telephone number is 703-305-1111. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6:30 M-F and alternating Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on 703-305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dionne Harvey

SUHAN MI PRIMARY EXAMINER